



RICHARD S. LEHMAN, P.A.
ATTORNEY AT LAW

March 2, 2010

Magistrado Presidente de la corte Supreme
Anibal Salas

Re: Estate of Wilson C. Lucom

Estimado Ministro Salas:

I would like to congratulate you on your appointment as the highest caretaker of justice in your land and introduce myself to you. I am asking for this unusual privilege of writing directly to you to provide you with a very brief update on a case before your honorable Supreme Court. I do this because the laws of your land require that I do nothing less than to provide you with the obvious and bare facts of judicial corruption that has taken place and continues to occur in the above mentioned case.

I am Richard S. Lehman, a United States attorney that was privileged to be recognized by your Panamanian Probate Court as a foreigner that was worthy of serving as the Albacea of the Last Will and Testament of a dear friend and client, Wilson C. Lucom who died in Panama in June of 2006.

Wilson Lucom's will requires me as Albacea to distribute tens of millions of dollars to feed the poor children of your country and to pay Estate funds to other beneficiaries including \$1.0 Million to the Mayo Clinic in the United States.

I write to you to provide an update on this case because it is almost four years since I was appointed as the Albacea of Lucom's will by the Panama Courts and yet I have not been able to distribute one single penny to feed your country's poor children. All of this illegal delay is a result of judicial and prosecutorial corruption in Panama.

However, though the poor children have received nothing, lawyers for Lucom's widow and Lucom's widow herself have received more than a million dollars from this Estate while they are attempting to nullify Lucom's will and keep Lucom's entire estate for themselves.

Page Two
March 2, 2010

I have been advised that under Article 861 of the Panamanian Judicial Code that it is incumbent upon me as a fiduciary to protect Lucom's Estate and its rightful beneficiaries to the best of my ability. I have done this for four years. I believe that this sacred obligation of Albacea, imposed on me by your laws, requires me to let you know in the most direct fashion that it is impossible for justice to be obtained on behalf of the beneficiaries of Lucom's will under the present circumstances.

I write this letter to you in your capacity as Chief Justice of the Supreme Court because I am specifically not making any charges against any member of the Judiciary in this letter.^{1/} However, a judge does not need to be accused of wrongdoing for it to be obvious that it would be unfair to justice for that judge to sit in judgment when there is evidence of overwhelming bias. I am writing to you to present facts that I know or have been advised of regarding two members of the judiciary, who in my opinion, have responsibility for my inability to legally carry out my responsibilities as Albacea for four years.

I make no charges. I only ask under the circumstances described below, how can the justice system of Panama permit these two officers of the law to continue to act on matters in the Estate of Wilson C. Lucom? I ask you, if the judge on the lowest court that rules on this case has shown an unfair bias and the Justice on the highest court that rules in this case has shown an unfair bias, do the poor children have even a sporting chance? Is it fair to them to continue to fool them into believing that Lucom's gift will possibly feed even one of them one day?

I offer you the following information on the judicial authorities who continue to rule in this case in spite of several formal requests for their recusal.

^{1/} I have reported this case to the offices of the Honorable Giuseppe A. Bonissi C., the Attorney General of the Country of Panama and requested a specific investigation of the entire Lucom matter. That letter and its several attachments will provide you with more background in this matter. It is included as **Exhibit 1** of this letter. I urge you to review these enclosures.

Judge Juan Bosco Molina

1. On November 21, 2007 Judge Juan Bosco Molina ("Judge Molina") in Order No. 1147 appointed Marta Canola as the Administrator for the Estate of Wilson Lucom, in replacement of me as the Albacea of Lucom's Estate. The opinion of the First Superior Court of Panama on August 12, 2009 found this action to be illegal and unconstitutional. Judge Molina's Order was in complete violation of your country's testate laws of succession and led to the delay in the ability to feed your children.

2. On August 28, 2008, Judge Juan Bosco Molina by Order No. 952 usurped the authority of this Panama Supreme Court by issuing an order stating that the appointment of Richard S. Lehman as Albacea by the Panamanian Court was null and void. This order was issued while this case was in front of the Panama Supreme Court awaiting decision. In an opinion issued shortly after Judge Molina issued Order No. 952, Judge Molina in his own words admitted that his Order No. 952 was illegal because he had no jurisdiction to issue such an order. See Order No. 587 of June 10th, 2009.

3. In an appeal dated April 19, 2007, the Panama Supreme Court found that Judge Juan Bosco Molina was responsible for judicial improprieties while sitting as a judge in another case.2/

4. It is my understanding that Judge Juan Bosco Molina has multiple charges against him for similar abuses of the law.

5. In spite of all of this, Judge Juan Bosco Molina continues to sit as the probate Judge in the Estate of Wilson C. Lucom and continues to issue orders in contravention of rulings of the First Superior Court that waste the Estate assets.

2/ See opinion of Justice Robert Gonzalez R, April 9, 2007, File 360-E.

I ask you, Mr. Chief Justice, can the poor children receive justice from Judge Juan Bosco Molina? Is it fair that he is not recused from this case?^{3/}

Justice Harley Mitchell

The Will of Wilson C. Lucom was challenged and it's nullification has been attempted for the last four years by Hilda Lucom, Lucom's widow who claims Lucom's entire fortune. The attorney representing Hilda Lucom in the nullification process is Hector Infante. In the Report and Request for Investigation (see Footnote 1) you will see the full extent of the proven illegal and unconstitutional abuse of my human rights (and the rights of the beneficiaries) by Hector Infante and the law firm of Infante & Perez Almillano.^{4/}

During the course of this will contest among many other human rights abuses, Hector Infante personally and not as Hilda's counsel, charged me with the crimes of extortion and slander in Panama. These charges included a request for my arrest in advance without the benefit of a trial. Eventually these charges were all totally dismissed by the Panama Supreme Court as having no legal basis whatsoever. In spite of this complete dismissal of the charges, I was falsely arrested on this charge in Panama and held under armed guard by the Panama police ten weeks after the dismissal of this charge. In spite of repeated requests, Chief Justice Mitchell never investigated this false arrest and the insult to your Supreme Court's dismissal order.

However, that is only the tip of the iceberg, it is my understanding, based on information I know and information from others that I believe to be true and correct, that the following is correct regarding the false extortion charge filed by Hector Infante and the role of Justice Harley Mitchell in the case of the Estate of Wilson C. Lucom.

^{3/} In a related but removed matter, it is important you know that Judge Molina's unconstitutional Order No. 952 was introduced in a United States Court as a true statement of the law in Panama. This unconstitutional ruling has now poisoned the U.S. Courts with serious ramifications.

^{4/} Recently I received a \$3.8 Million judgment against this law firm for the abuse of process of Panama's law against me. See Judgment No. 103, September 22, 2009, Seventeenth Civil Circuit Court for the First Judicial Circuit of Panama.

Page Five
March 2, 2010


A full review of the Supreme Court records in this case may show that Justice Harley Mitchell unconstitutionally prevented me from being in Panama and from defending Lucom's will for 11 months by failing to rule timely on a Habeas Corpus Motion involving the criminal charges filed against me by Hector Infante. I understand that legally Justice Mitchell was required to rule on this motion in 48 hours under Panama law, not 11 months.

Furthermore, I have a good faith belief that Justice Mitchell began a project in the Supreme Court to rule against me and declare me a criminal and incarcerate me before I ever had a trial. His project was based upon a criminal complaint filed personally against me by lawyer, Hector Infante, who Justice Mitchell purportedly personally met with during this case. It is my understanding that Justice Mitchell's project to imprison me was overridden by the entire Panama Supreme Court.

If a man's freedom can be taken so lightly in Panama that a person has to be fearful of fulfilling his or her fiduciary obligations once appointed to do so in Panama, can there be any order? More importantly, Mr. Chief Justice, can Justice Mitchell rule with equal justice here, and if he continues to preside is it time to stop the charade that Panama's poor have a chance here?

I wish I could offer you a more helpful report on this case, but justice and my position as Albacea require nothing but the truth.

Respectfully yours,



RICHARD S. LEHMAN