

EXHIBIT 9

...In Panama City, at ten in the morning (10:00 a.m.) of Friday, December first (1st) of two thousand six (2006), mister RICHARD S. LEHMAN appears before the 15th District Court of the Panama's First Judicial Circuit, with the purpose of extending his sworn deposition in the actual investigations. Due to the fact that the appearer does not dominate the Spanish language, translator ROBERTO BOSCO CIRE of the English to Spanish and vice versa language will be assigned to him, with personal i.d. number 8-407-194, particular translator with offices in Edificio Torres Ebel, floor 13-B, for which all information, questions and answers will be made through the translator. We proceed by having the witness take an oath, warning him on the content of Article 355 of the Penal Code, which refers to the False testimony offence, in virtue of which he promises to tell the truth on everything that he knows and is asked about. Forwardly, he is questioned in the following manner: QUESTION: What was the motive of your presence in this office this morning. ANSWER: I am here to testify regarding the VALORES GLOBALES shares that were stolen from Wilson C. Lucom's inheritance. Today I am going to testify regarding a letter that was submitted to my lawyers in Florida. This letter was written by Julian Cabarcos, who is related to HILDA through his marriage and said he was acting on behalf of VALORES GLOBALES. In the United States and maybe here, I don't know, one of our main rights is to have our own lawyer present to represent us. We call that the right to a legal representation. In this letter written by Julian Cabarcos on October 25 of 2006, Julian Cabarcos threatened my lawyer to make sure he resigned and stopped representing me. I am going to speak about the letter, but before doing that it is important to mention the whole context of the situation, this way this threatening letter can be valued in its totality. The situation is as follow. Hector Infante, the lawyer that represents HILDA LUCOM, who is trying to void the notarial will, which bequeaths approximately thirty million dollars to the poor children of Panama. They are trying to ensure that WILSON C. LUCOM's inheritance goes to HILDA LUCOM who is 83 years old and not to the poor children of Panama, due to the fact that the notarized will was accepted by the court it will be difficult for it to be voided. There is a plan to try and take this money for HILDA LUCOM which involves many actions, which most of them are aimed at getting me out of the way given that I have been appointed as the Albacea and I am the only one who can stop them. Hector Infante's office has presented official charges against me in Panama on

behalf of Mrs. Lucom, and just yesterday Hector Infante offered me three million dollars if I resigned. This happened in a meeting in which I was present as well as OCTAVIO DEL MORAL, attorney, MARIO BOYD, attorney, HECTOR INFANTE and one of Hector's associates whose name I do not know, this happened yesterday Thursday, November 30 in a restaurant near Infante's office. The reason for this meeting was to talk and try to resolve all of these differences. I found this very strange given that the court has not ruled that HILDA LUCOM has any right, other than the one mentioned in the will. Now I will talk about the theft of the VALORES GLOBALES shares and Julian Cabarcos' letter. It is important for this to be on record, to confirm that a judge in Florida has suspended HILDA LUCOM'S actions to exert any right on the VALORES GLOBALES shares. I am waiting to receive another order from the British Virgin Islands, where VALORES GLOBALES was incorporated, saying that HILDA LUCOM has no faculty to exert the right to vote. A judge in Florida has prevented HILDA from voting on the VALORES GLOBALES shares, given that she has denied to testify against me. In Florida you cannot report someone without any evidence. She has denied to testify. In Panama, the authorities must demand HILDA LUCOM to testify in person this way my rights are dully protected. I cannot understand how a judge can decide without her testimony in person. Now we will talk about JULIAN CABARCOS' letter. HILDA LUCOM'S accountant, SABY BONILLA, testified that the VALORES GLOBALES shares never left Mr. Lucom's home. From mid June until October 5, when she testified, despite that, JULIAN CABARCOS' letter, in which he threatens my lawyer, clearly confirms that HILDA PIZA LUCOM is in possession of shares that represent all of the VALORES GLOBALES shares. Who is telling the truth? SABY BONILLA, HILDA LUCOM or JULIAN CABARCOS. Also, in JULIAN CABARCOS' letter he accuses me of committing a serie of offences in Panama, none of which have been proved. Moreover, his letter affirms the following regarding my position as albacea: "The Panamanian Court has prohibited his capacity to act as Albacea". This is not true. Specific powers have been suspended; however I am still the Albacea. Paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of the letter, make many erroneous statements on me. Due to this letter my attorney resigned and no other lawyer to whom I have showed this letter is willing to represent me. These actions, which are against the United States' constitutional rights, are just one of many of the erroneous actions against me in this case. I would like to ask Hector Infante, where he got the power to try to buy me with moneys derived from the LUCOM inheritance, while the inheritance

is still being administered and his client HILDA LUCOM has no such rights, other than the ones disposed in the will. I want ask the prosecution if it is an offence to try to buy off an Albacea to prevent him from administrating an inheritance? as well as his threats to avoid us from having a legal representation? That is all. QUESTION: What type of specific powers are you referring to, which have been suspended, such as previously expressed and why were they suspended? ANSWER: I don't remember exactly, but in general they were 2 things, one: I would have nothing to do with Hacienda Santa Monica, and two: that I should not do anything with a serie of bank accounts in Panama. It is very hard for me to explain the reason of this decision, given that there was no hearing whatsoever, this was just an automatic act in response to something HECTOR INFANTE submitted, however my lawyers in Panama, have told me that they think that my suspension was illegal and is presently in appeal in a civil court here in Panama, I don't know which one. QUESTION: Please state if you wish to add anything else to the present diligence which you consider is important. ANSWER: That is all. At this point and not being any other the object of this diligence, the same is over, after having the witness read and sign it.